Expository Writing 20: 
Journey to Mars

I. COURSE OVERVIEW

Evoking President Kennedy’s famous speech to put a man on the moon by the end of the 1960s, on October 11, 2016, President Obama called for the United States to launch humans to Mars by 2030 and to one day settle there. The quest to achieve this goal has dominated recent headlines from NASA’s landing of the Curiosity Rover to Hollywood’s renewed fascination with the red planet. Mars has become the next great frontier in human conquest and exploration. Why Mars? What is at stake in our efforts to reach Mars? What does it say about life here on Earth? The case for Mars will examine how environment shapes human behavior and capabilities and how our future is conceived and conceptualized through the union of science and science fiction. Over the semester, we will look at a range of scholarly literature on Mars as well as films, science fiction, and virtual reality simulations to examine some possible futures in which humans have colonized outer space and become a multi-planetary species.

While our discussions and case studies center around Mars, our engagement with course materials intends to help us become strong and articulate writers. Unlike most other courses you will take at Harvard, this is not a course about the content but about critical reading, analysis, and writing. Within it we will learn strategies such as: Asking analytical questions, conveying critical insights, articulating complex ideas, and mastering academic writing conventions. We will foreground how authors develop and communicate ideas. What we learn about Mars will entwine with our investigations into why and how we write about it. The skills you develop here can be utilized for writing about other topics and extend across a range of academic disciplines.

Throughout the course you will focus on developing your sense of what you do well and challenging yourself to grow as a writer. This includes expanding your repertoire and practice of revision techniques; thinking critically, imagining your audiences, and increasing the complexity and originality of your analysis as well as the effectiveness and elegance of your prose. One of the most exciting things to learn in a writing course is that the learning process never stops; one doesn't "arrive" at being a good writer, but rather continually becomes one.
With these goals in mind, we begin by keeping three aspects of writing in mind. **Writing is a process:** you have to continually and repeatedly work on it, note-takings, revising, and reflecting on your writing. **Writing is thinking**, that is writing is one of the best ways to figure out your ideas and good writing comes with thinking carefully and clearly. **Writing is a conversation** with an audience you intend to communicate with as well as with the authors and ideas you draw from to write your paper.

The course is structured in the following units:

**Unit 1: Mars Mania**

In the first unit, we will examine the popular fascination with Mars. Why are we so taken with the red planet? What possible futures for humanity does this fascination reveal? How has advancements and endeavors in space technology mediated these anxieties and futures? We will focus on the recent Hollywood film *The Martian* (dir. Ridley Scott, 2015). Our efforts to understand this rich and complex filmic text will provide us with a way to also tease out the assumptions and themes of this larger moment of Mars fascination, as well as an opportunity for you to delve into topics connected to your own personal interests.

You will write a five page close analysis of *The Martian*. Your essay will make an argument about a specific topic or theme that relates to space exploration and human futures represented in the film. In what ways does the film reinforce or challenge our existing ideas about Mars? How does it engage with current scientific research? What does it say about the future of life here on Earth? What does it leave out? Your evidence for your interpretation will derive from your critical reflection on the film as a whole, the course readings, as well as a focused analysis of a single scene from the film.

**Unit 2: Life on Mars**

In the second unit, we will delve a little deeper to explore the intertwined social, technological, and political issues that life on Mars evokes. We will read from Ray Bradbury’s *The Martian Chronicles*, as well as examine essays and videos on Mars “analog” environments on Earth. Students will also have an opportunity to take part in a Mars mission through a virtual reality simulation. Readings will approach how ways of viewing and imagining Mars produces the relationship between humans and aliens and Earth and Mars. Our discussions will think about the way technologies and power work in multi-planetary worlds.

In this unit, you will write a six page essay that uses the theoretical essays read in class as “lens” to illuminate your own personal analysis of Bradbury’s text. You will use the theoretical essay as a tool to take apart the text. You are expected to challenge or resist a simple application of the
theoretical framework and instead, build an argument through the friction between different sources.

**Unit 3: Multi-planetary Futures**

In the final unit of the course, we will undertake a broader inquiry of Mars as the next frontier of human entrepreneurship that critically engages with the science and ethics of colonizing Mars. We will examine several case studies of proposed future Mars missions (Mars One, NASA’s Mars 2020 Rover, SpaceX’s Interplanetary Transport System, United Arab Emirates Mars 2117, among others) that envision the eventual settlement of Mars and a multi-planetary human future. How do conditions of space challenge how we might live in these places? What futures for humanity are imagined by their stakeholders? In turn, how are these intertwined with an understanding of Earth’s history? You will write an eight to ten page multi-sourced interdisciplinary research paper on a topic of your choice that builds on course readings, activities, and discussion.

**II. HOW THE COURSE WORKS**

**Required Texts and Materials**

On many class days, some of the texts we turn to will be your own writing: your response papers, drafts and revised essays will be part of what we read and discuss. All writing you do for this class is public – in other words, it may be chosen as one of the examples for us to consider. If there is ever a particular piece you don’t want others to see, please just let me know.

Please note that there is only one required text you need to purchase for the course. But you will print a great deal for this class, so please plan accordingly.


All other assigned readings will be posted in PDF form on the course website or handed out in class.

**Other Resources**

- *Harvard Guide to Using Sources*, available online at [http://usingsources.fas.harvard.edu](http://usingsources.fas.harvard.edu)
- *Exposé*, the magazine of student writing (available online at [https://projects.iq.harvard.edu/expose](https://projects.iq.harvard.edu/expose) or as handouts in class)
- Harvard College Writing Center: [http://writingcenter.fas.harvard.edu](http://writingcenter.fas.harvard.edu)
You should also have some writing handbook to consult when questions of grammar, mechanics or style arise. I’m happy to recommend one if you don’t own one already.

**Expos Notebook**
You are also required to have a notebook dedicated to this class. It should be a full-size, single-subject notebook that is used for this class only. Throughout the semester you will use the notebook to take notes from class, readings and conference meetings, brainstorm and organize ideas for essays, and complete in-class work and some assignments. This notebook is for you. However, at certain points in the semester, I will check that you are using it faithfully. This will be a source of the participation portion of your grade.

**Laptop Policy**
Ordinarily I will ask that you not use laptops in class. There may be a few days when they are necessary, and I will let you know about them ahead of time. In general, you should expect to print any materials that I send you or post on the course website and bring those with you to class.

**Communication**
The course works best when we treat it as a semester-long conversation about your writing. To make that conversation possible, there are a few important things to remember:

- **Conferences:** In each unit of the course, you will submit a response paper, a draft, and a revised essay. After you have submitted your draft, we will meet to discuss my feedback, which I will have sent to you beforehand. These conferences are our chance to work closely on your writing and to focus your work in revision, and are most worthwhile when you are the one guiding them. Please come to each conference prepared – having reviewed your essay and my comments, considered your questions, and begun to think about revision possibilities and strategies. You should plan on taking notes during our discussions. Since the schedule during conference days is so tight, missed conferences may not be rescheduled.
  In addition to our formal conferences, you are of course free to come see me during office hours (Monday 1-3) about your developing ideas or to set up another time to meet if office hours aren’t convenient.

- **Email:** Rather than take up our class time with announcements and administrative arrangements (and there will be many of them), I use email to communicate most of that information. As part of your participation in the course, I ask that you check your Harvard email account daily: you are responsible for the information I post there. Likewise, I will make sure to check mine once every weekday for questions from you.
Please note that I don’t check email later than 7:00 p.m. I can answer most questions within 24 hours, except over the weekend.

Class Participation
One of the benefits of Expos is its small class size. That benefit is best realized when every student participates fully in the class. You learn much more from formulating, articulating, and questioning your own thoughts than from simply listening to what others have to say. Our time together is largely devoted to discussion and small-group work. Therefore, you are responsible for being in class, prepared and on time. Being prepared for class means that you have given careful thought to the reading and writing assignments, and that you are ready to offer ideas and questions to open our discussions.

During the semester, we will also have a few organized events and activities that take us outside of the classroom, which may include film viewings, observatory visits, virtual reality sessions, and talks with experts. These outings are intended to enrich your experience in the course, and may provide opportunities for further engaging with topics in your written work. They are not required but you are strongly encouraged to make every effort to attend.

Harvard College Writing Program Policy on Attendance
Because Expos has a shorter semester and fewer class hours than other courses, and because instruction in Expos proceeds by sequential writing activities, your consistent attendance is essential. If you are absent without medical excuse more than twice, you are eligible to be officially excluded from the course and given a failing grade. On the occasion of your second unexcused absence, you will receive a letter warning you of your situation. This letter will also be sent to your Resident Dean, so the College can give you whatever supervision and support you need to complete the course.

Apart from religious holidays, only medical absences can be excused. In the case of a medical problem, you should contact your preceptor before the class to explain, but in any event within 24 hours: otherwise you will be required to provide a note from UHS or another medical official, or your Resident Dean. Absences because of special events such as athletic meets, debates, conferences, and concerts are not excusable absences. If such an event is very important to you, you may decide to take one of your two allowable unexcused absences; but again, you are expected to contact your preceptor beforehand if you will miss a class, or at least within 24 hours. If you wish to attend an event that will put you over the two-absence limit, you should contact your Resident Dean and you must directly petition the Expository Writing Senior Preceptor, who will grant such petitions only in extraordinary circumstances and only when your work in the class has been exemplary.
Class begins promptly at seven minutes past the hour. Three late arrivals of more than ten minutes will be counted as an absence.

**Grades**
The majority of your grade comes from your three essays, according to the following breakdown: Essay 1 = 20%; Essay 2 = 35%; Essay 3 = 40%. The standard for each essay also becomes more demanding as we progress (since you are building on certain fundamental skills and techniques with each essay). The remaining 5% of your grade reflects your participation during class discussion, your completion of preliminary exercises, and your thoughtful responses to the work of your peers.

**Regarding Written Essays and Their Assessment**
The goal for each essay is for you to express an original idea in a compelling, persuasive fashion and to give your readers a clear understanding of why your essay is a worthwhile endeavor. Getting there, however, is not about memorizing information, formulas, or rules for writing. This class is unlike many others in that it does not test your ability to reproduce a particular set of facts. Assessment in writing is not based on a model where there is a “right” answer; the concept of a perfect score (which you would receive if you answered all the questions on a test correctly) does not apply. In an essay, you are creating knowledge, not reproducing it. Think of your writing in this class not in terms of what you did right or wrong, but in terms of what you discovered and how you expressed the unfolding of your ideas.

As you write, give yourself room to explore the topic. Don’t be frustrated if your argument seems to take on a life of its own and move in a direction you hadn’t anticipated. In fact, the seeds of great arguments often appear in the conclusion of a first draft, after you have considerably analyzed and explored the material. Revisions should thus be major re-workings. The process of writing well involves more than rearranging words. It is a messy, often exhausting, yet rewarding cycle of reading observation, reexamining, questioning the text, questioning oneself, writing, rewriting, and so on.

No matter what grade your writing receives, you can always learn from it and from its accompanying feedback in terms of how to improve yourself as a writer. The following criteria and rubric translates those single-character grades into more useful terms. Translated as such, a grade can help you understand the strengths and weaknesses of your own writing and help you focus on how to improve it in future work.
Primary Evaluation Criteria – Unit Essays

**Thesis and Argument:** Is there one main argument in the essay? Does it fulfill the assignment? Does it address some problem, issue, or controversy of consequence? Is the problem/question clearly articulated at the beginning of the essay? Is the thesis interesting, complex? Is it argued throughout?

**Structure:** Is the argument clearly and logically organized? Is it easy to understand the main points? Does it develop and is it unified? Is it easy to follow?

**Evidence & Analysis:** Does the argument offer supporting evidence for each of its points? Is the evidence sufficient and appropriate? Is the analysis of the evidence insightful and convincing? Is the evidence properly attributed? Is the bibliographical information correct?

**Sources:** Are all the appropriate or assigned sources being used? Are they introduced in an understandable way? Is their purpose in the argument clear? Do they do more than merely affirm the writer’s viewpoint or merely present a “straw man” for the writer to knock down? Are responsible inferences drawn from them? Are they properly attributed?

**Style:** Is the style appropriate for its audience and subject matter? Is the writing concise, active, cohesive, and to the point? Are the sentences clear and grammatically correct? Are there spelling, proofreading, and formatting errors? Does the writer engage his or her readers respectfully?

**Grading Rubric for Written Work**

Please note that *grades are based on the evidence of the work submitted, not on the effort or time spent on the work*. Expos 20 faculty use similar grading standards to ensure evenness and fairness in their evaluations of student work across all Expos sections.

**A:** Work that is ambitious and gives an impression of excellence in all the criteria listed. It grapples with interesting, complex ideas; it responds discerningly to counterarguments; it explores well-chosen evidence revealingly. The argument enhances (rather than underscores) the reader’s and writer’s knowledge; it does not simply repeat what has been taught or what someone else has said. It provides a context for its argument. A general reader outside the class would be engaged and enriched, not confused, by reading it. Its beginning opens up, rather than flatly announces, its argument; its end brings closure to its ideas, rather than closing them off. The language is clean, grounded, and precise. A reader feels surprised, delighted, changed upon encountering it. Only its writer could have illuminated the material in this way, and the writer’s stake in the material is obvious, though not trumpeted.
B: Work that gives an impression of general superiority in all the criteria listed. Such work reaches high in its aims and achieves many of them. It has a solid thesis, but some supporting points require more analysis or are sometimes confusing or disconnected. The language is generally clear and precise but occasionally not. The evidence is relevant, but it may be too little; the context for the evidence may not be sufficiently explored, so that a reader has to make the connections that the writer should have made more clearly.

Or: Work that reaches less high in its ambition than A work but thoroughly achieves its aims. Such work is solid, but the reasoning or argument is nonetheless rather routine.

C: Work that gives an impression of minimal competence in all the criteria listed. Such work has problems in one or more of the following areas: conception (it has at least one main idea, but that idea is usually unclear); structure (it is disorganized and confusing); evidence (it is weak or inappropriate; it is often presented without context or compelling analysis); style (it is often unclear, awkward, imprecise, or contradictory). Such work may repeat a main point rather than develop an argument or it may touch upon many points. Often its punctuation, grammar, spelling, paragraphs, and transitions are problematic.

Or: Work that is largely a summary, interpretive summary or opinion rather than an argument.

D and F: Work that is below average and deficient in one or more of the criteria listed. Such work does not address the expectations of the assignment or comes very short of what it ought to be in grappling with serious ideas.

Or: Work that has serious problems with thesis, structure, evidence, analysis, sources, or style.

III. WRITTEN WORK

Submitting Essays and Policy on Electronic Submissions
You will submit at least some of your work electronically this semester through the Canvas course website. Please be sure to check the syllabus for details on when things are due, and pay careful attention to the guidelines explained in assignment handouts. As you send or upload each document, it is your responsibility to ensure that you have saved the document in a form compatible with Microsoft Word. If you use word-processing software such as Pages or Google Docs, please be sure that you are saving your work in a Microsoft Word-friendly format. This will help minimize potential compatibility issues when we share our writing with one another. It is also your responsibility to ensure that the file you are sending is not corrupted or damaged. If I cannot open or read the file you have sent, the essay will be subject to a late penalty.

All work you submit to the course is for public readership – in other words, we will use essays and excerpts from the writers in the class as some of our texts this semester. If at any point you
submit a draft or revision that you would prefer other students not read, please let me know that – but please don’t make that request about everything you turn in this semester.

**Deadlines**
For many class meetings, you will have due a response paper or some other reading or writing exercise to help you develop the essay for that unit. Our work together in class will also often be based on those assignments. For those reasons, it is imperative that you turn your work in on time. Of course, even in the most carefully organized semesters, unexpected circumstances can arise – therefore each student is allowed **ONE 24-hour extension on an assignment during the semester**. To use that 24-hour extension without penalty, you must: contact me before that deadline; submit the late work to Canvas; and be on time with the other work due on that day as well. Otherwise, the work will be counted as late. And beware: taking that one-day extension can mean that you’re crunched for time at the beginning of the next unit.

All other deadlines in the course are firm. Except in the case of medical or family emergency, I do not grant further extensions. A third of the letter grade on the final essay will be penalized for each day an essay draft or revision is late. If you cannot meet a deadline due to a medical emergency, you must contact me right away, and may be required to produce a note from UHS; in the event of a family emergency, you must contact me right away, and may be required to ask your dean to contact me by email or phone. In addition, please contact me as soon as possible so we can work out an alternative schedule.

And a word to the wise:

*Keep a copy of all your work*, and be sure to avoid computer disaster: you should both regularly save your work and periodically print working drafts as you write (in other words, you should never be in the position of having "finished" an essay or revision with nothing to show for it if your computer crashes).

**Revision**
Because of the emphasis this course places on revision, the schedule is designed to allow you as much revision time per essay as possible – always at least a week after the draft is due, and usually at least five days after your draft conference. Since you’ll have a significant span of days in which to revise, the expectations for this aspect of your work in the course are high.

**Harvard College Writing Program Policy on Completion of Work**
Because your Expos course is a planned sequence of writing, you must write all of the assigned essays to pass the course, and you must write them within the schedule of the course—not in the last few days of the semester after you have fallen behind. You will receive a letter reminding you of these requirements, therefore, if you fail to submit at least a substantial draft of an essay
by the final due date in that essay unit. The letter will also specify the new date by which you
must submit the late work, and be copied to your Resident Dean. If you fail to submit at least a
substantial draft of the essay by this new date, and you have not documented a medical problem,
you are eligible to be officially excluded from the course and given a failing grade.

**Policy on Collaboration**
The following kinds of collaboration are permitted in this course: developing or refining ideas in
conversation with other students or through peer review of written work (including feedback
from Writing Center tutors). If you would like to acknowledge the impact someone had on your
essay, it is customary to do this in a footnote at the beginning of the paper. As stated in the
Student Handbook, “Students need not acknowledge discussion with others of general
approaches to the assignment or assistance with proofreading.” However, all work submitted for
this course must be your own: in other words, writing response papers, drafts or revisions with
other students is expressly forbidden.

**Policy on Academic Integrity**
Throughout the semester we’ll work on the proper use of sources, including how to cite and how
to avoid plagiarism. You should always feel free to ask me questions about this material. All the
work that you submit for this course must be your own, and that work should not make use of
outside sources unless such sources are explicitly part of the assignment. Any student submitting
plagiarized work is eligible to fail the course and to be subject to review by the Honor Council,
including potential disciplinary action.

**Writing Center**
At any stage of the writing process – brainstorming ideas, drafting or revising – you may want
some extra attention on your essays. The Writing Center (located on the garden level of the
Barker Center) offers hour-long appointments with trained tutors. I can't stress strongly enough
the benefit of the service they provide; regardless of the "strength" or "weakness" of the essay,
any piece of writing benefits from further review and a fresh perspective. Visit the Writing
Center's web site at [https://writingcenter.fas.harvard.edu/](https://writingcenter.fas.harvard.edu/) to make an appointment. Tutors also
hold drop-in office hours at other campus locations; see the Writing Center website for details.

**A Final Note**

Please send me an email when you have finished reading the syllabus. If you have any questions
or concerns about the course, please let me know, and I’ll either address them in an email
response or in class at the beginning of our next session. Even if you don’t have any questions or
concerns, please send me along an email - just so I know we’re on the same page about the
upcoming semester.